

CANDOUR

The British
Views-Letter

Founded by A. K. Chesterton in 1953 to defend National Sovereignty against the menace of International Finance
Vol. XXXVII Nos. 11 & 12 Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper November/December, 1986

A BALLADE OF INSTABILITY

Drifting and rudderless, against the tide
Of life's events we pit our craft in vain.
Our boastful trumpetings about man's wide
New kingdom, and his self-sufficient reign
Fade into nothingness. As flat champagne,
Pride's bubbles burst. Hobbling in man-made gyves,
Lost and bewildered, now we seek in pain
A stable centre for our shaken lives.

"The stars are numbered, and the planets tied
To serve our will!" Our conquest shone out plain.
We did not notice that the well had dried . . .
God's nourishment rejected; our terrain
Become our prison. All the land lies slain.
Through festered cities, where no true thing thrives,
We cry for what we lately did disdain —
A stable centre for our shaken lives.

Now, panic-stricken, we would gladly hide
From our creations. Numbed in heart and brain
We woo forgetfulness and run, blear-eyed,
Pursuing fresh false 'isms' through the drain
Of lunacy. Their tawdry glitters wane
And leave us derelict. No hope survives;
We will not find, in all this tangled skein,
A stable centre for our shaken lives.

envoi

Prince Jesus, take our hand and once again
Show us, in Bethlehem as night arrives,
A door stood open, and the end of strain.
A stable centre for our shaken lives.

A.M.

A Joyful Christmas to All Our Readers

PARDON ME, YOUR DOUBLE STANDARDS ARE SHOWING!

by NOEL HUNT

"The greatest superstition now entertained by public men is that Hypocrisy is the royal road to success."

R. G. Ingersoll: Speech.

Few things here below afford more innocent pleasure than the sight and sound of the great and the good seizing an opportunity to cant, to spout sanctimonious hypocrisy and to dabble in double standards. How unerringly is the chance to cant spotted, how nimbly does the canter swing aboard the passing band-wagon, how edifying the resultant mellifluous flow of codswallop!

Heaven knows that there is little in Britain today of which we can be proud. Yet as we drown in a welter of gutless mediocrity, we can at least console ourselves that in the fields of cant and hypocrisy we are still up there with the world's best.

We had a recent example when the Archbishop of Canterbury visited Crossroads. This is an illegal camp of black squatters in the Eastern Cape of South Africa. Predictably, he "was appalled" at what he saw. His Lordship, ignoring the beam in his own eye in the shape of the squalor in various British cities, had flown off to South Africa to look at the mote which he discerned in the eye of that country. Naturally he was escorted round Crossroads and its environs by Bishop Desmond Tutu ("winner of the Nobel Prize"). Equally naturally, he seized the chance to be rude to the government of the country in which he was a guest. He was "horrified by the squelching mud, the dirt and squalor, the lack of facilities, the flies, the smell and the struggle people have to create a home." One gets the impression that he thinks that for all this the wicked South African government is to blame.

Yet the fact is that the blacks have no more right to squat in Crossroads than the Archbishop has to squat in Ghana. Every inch of land in the Cape is owned by whites, bought and paid for, and has been for over two hundred years. The blacks trying to establish a presence in Crossroads are illegal immigrants from the Bantu Homelands. They are trespassing on land which someone else has bought and improved. In this respect they differ not at all from the gipsies, hippies and similar intolerable nuisances who occupy land illegally in Britain. One does not recall the Primate visiting such camps and telling us that he is "appalled", or commenting on the filth and flies which the hippies bring with them.

One would love to hear His Lordship explaining precisely why there is no objection to local authorities in England evicting illegal settlements of hippies and squatters, and why there is world-wide and well-organised outrage and fury when the South African government does precisely that. If gipsies may not squat wherever they choose in England, why may illegal immigrants squat where they please in South Africa? Why are evictions of insanitary nuisances acceptable in England but outrageous in South Africa? Would His Lordship care to explain?

The Dirt And The Flies

The Primate was distressed by the mud, the squalor, the dirt and the flies which he found in Crossroads. To him this was horrifying and objectionable. The inhabitants of Crossroads do not share these views. If they did they would do something about it. They and their innumerable children have 24 hours a day in which to tidy the place up. They have not done so. They have not done so because they do not regard the conditions as being as intolerable as they seem to the white visitor.

We read through our tears that the Primate was told that "whole families were out of work, just wandering aimlessly." Could they not perhaps use their abundant spare time to clean the place up a little? Could they not dig a drainage ditch or two, and so get rid of the mud? Flies breed in neglected rubbish. Could the numerous unemployed not collect and burn the rubbish? They have time enough and matches to seek out and burn alive black men whom they accuse of supporting the lawful government of South Africa. In need, they could always borrow a box or two of matches from Winnie Mandela, the public advocate of necklacing. The rest of the Eastern Cape is a beautiful area, kept immaculate by its white owners. Why cannot the black interlopers do the same?

There were others with the Archbishop, all having their exquisitely sensitive social consciences lacerated by what they saw. The Anglican Primate of the United States was there, the Primate of Kenya, the Bishop of Texas and of course the widow of Martin Luther King, he of the dream and the membership of many Communist fronts. It must have called for a very big band-wagon to accommodate them all. Perhaps next time a hot air ball would be thought to be more appropriate?

It is not only Crossroads which earns the unfavourable attention of the man of goodwill. The Liberal Party's Mr. David Steel toured Soweto townships. As usual, he took the opportunity to be rude to the government of the country which he was visiting. He described the conditions as "a living hell" and is reported to have blamed the conditions of which he disapproved on "Pretoria's policies." Some of the people, he said, had been there for ten years.

One is moved to ask why, if that is so, they have done nothing in ten years to improve their environment? South Africa's white suburbs are models of cleanliness and order. The various townships in Soweto have town councils with precisely the same powers as the white municipal councils. They can impose and collect rates, provide all municipal services just as the white councils can and do. The black councils have chosen not to do so. If this is what the black man wants and chooses as his environment in Soweto, in what way can "Pretoria's policies" be held to blame?

There is nothing to prevent the black residents of Soweto from operating refuse removal services, road repair units and all the other facilities needed in a modern city. The whites are only too anxious to help : they live next door. The blacks are not interested. This is not to say that the blacks are right or wrong in their attitude, merely that the black man's priorities are not those of the white.

Why is it that every white visitor blames the South African government for the omissions of the black local government?

If the blacks are as capable of selfhelp and self-rule as many whites profess to believe, why have they not made the Soweto Townships so well-run and attractive that they are the envy of the whites? For that matter, why have they not done so in the inner cities of England and America?

Neither the Primate nor Mr. Steel, so far as one knows, has been moved to visit the appalling squalor of an English hippy squat and there to denounce the central government of England because the hippies are too idle and too disinterested to organise elementary public services. Of course, the hippies not only have votes but a well-organised lobby and pressure group. There is no political capital to be made from illegal gipsy encampments.

Fortunately for the vast legion of canters, the world is full of Crossroads and Sowetos, all living demonstrations of the arrogant incompetence of the inhabitants. These can all be visited – accompanied by suitable publicity, of course – and agonised over. They are useful as a means of making the white man feel guilty and making him produce more and ever more money. Well publicised and expense-paid cant is big business. Byron knew the industry in its infancy, when he was moved to write:

"Oh, for a forty-parson power to chant Thy praise, Hypocrisy!"

TRAVAILS OF AFRICA'S FORGOTTEN WHITE TRIBE

Under this headline, a feature article in the South African **Argus** newspaper in June told of the dangers faced by Europeans living in Zambia.

In Zambia there is no **apartheid** system on which to foist the blame for the nation's ills. Indeed, it has had 22 years to adjust to independence and majority rule. Yet so incompetent is Kaunda's 'government' that only one in 25 Zambians has a job and, for the rest, robbery is often the only viable alternative. And there is no riper target than the 20,000-strong White expatriate community, working in the copper mines.

It is no use calling a Zambian policeman. The force is "ill-equipped, understaffed and frequently indisposed to cope with the soaring crime rate". People reporting a break-in are, more often than not, told to collect police officers and drive them personally to the scene of the crime! Vigilante squads are appearing in Zambian towns and many Whites are sheltering behind

garden walls with broken bottles or metal spikes, or barbed wire fences.

White parents collecting their children from school are regarded as soft targets because their "first instinct is to protect their offspring and they will part with their money, car and other possessions without a fight". One notorious gang shot and killed an eight-year-old White girl, her mother, sister and brother, when they broke into a Lusaka house.

And Whites in South Africa have no cause to regard themselves as immune from such barbarity. A subscriber has written to state that "at least a dozen" of his acquaintances have been murdered or injured for life by muggers and burglars. He said, "They destroy what they don't want to take and attack, rape and murder without reason." South Africa's struggle for White survival is not going to be short and easy, we fear, even if widespread resistance is mounted.

101 USES FOR A DEAD (OR ALIVE) BABY

by DR. OLGA FAIRFAX

Once in a while an article comes along that is so important, so compelling, that it deserves — in fact, demands — the widest possible circulation. This one first appeared in a recent issue of **A.L.L. About Issues**, published by the American Life Lobby. We warn readers that its contents will shock. They are nonetheless true.

When I saw the first ad on TV advertising collagen-enriched cosmetics I was speechless. We'll be apologizing to Hitler, I thought; at least he didn't kill for money!

Collagen is the gelatinous substance found in connective tissue, bone and cartilage. Nick Timmesch's syndicated column "Our Grisly Human Fetal Industry" documents that amniotic fluid and collagen can come from fetal material, since the Food and Drug Administration does not require pretesting or the identification of cosmetic ingredients.

A glance through a local drug store revealed that the leading 12 shampoos and five hand creams all contained collagen.

Check your beauty products and you may be shocked! Unless your beauty product specifies animal collagen or bovine collagen, the product probably contains human collagen. The drug company should be challenged at once. Even collagen taken from human placenta raises questions about respect of life and ownership of the placenta.

A letter from Mary Kay Cosmetics emphasizes that their collagen all comes from animals. A similar letter from Hask has also been received.

Since there are 1.5 million abortions every year, there is an abundant source of fetuses for commercial use.

There's a triple profit to be had. The first is from the abortion (estimated at a half billion dollars a year by *Fortune* magazine.) The second profit comes from the sale of aborted babies' bodies. The third profit is from unsuspecting customers buying cosmetics.

Babies' bodies are sold by the bag, \$25 a batch or up to \$5,500 a pound. The sale of late-term elective abortions at D.C. General Hospital brought \$68,000 between 1966 and 1976. The money was used to buy a TV set and cookies and soft drinks for visiting professors. Personally, I hope that they choked on the Kool-Aid!

Call your local abortuary and hospital and ask them some pointed questions about the disposal and possible sale of fetuses. Would an abortionist who kills a baby think twice about selling its body? One prenatal killer said, "A baby is becoming property. We kill, keep or sell the property."

In the Pittsburgh Womens' Health Service there's a sign in the lab areas asking doctors not to carry

dead fetuses without wrapping them since it disturbs the patients.

Treated Like Trash

What have abortuaries done with fetuses in the past before they realized that they could make another profit out of them?

Well, "Richmond's shame" marked a new low in disposal of wastes. An abortion center there filled a long bin on the rear of its property with the remains of its day's nefarious doings. Its trash compactor neatly mashed 100 babies' bodies which were then tied up in plastic bags and thrown on top of the bin.

"The hungry dogs came along and dragged the bags away. There were frequent fights and the contents of the bags would be strewn up and down the streets until the dogs separated the gauze, sponges and pads and devoured the placenta, bones and flesh of the babies," said a mother.

She went to the police, health department and city hall and felt that she got nowhere; but the bags of warm human babies' mutilated parts disappeared from the streets even though the clinic increased its abortions from 25 to 150 a week. They've since moved to larger quarters.

The Jacksonville, Florida, Womens' Center for Reproductive Health, which is run and owned by the Clergy Consultation Service, advertises "celebrating a decade of service."

Nothing to Celebrate

What they don't advertise is that they leave aborted babies out for the trash pickup. Rev. Marvin Lutz, the director, explained that the practice of leaving the remains out was perfectly legal and approved by the "good housekeeping" Judases, the National Abortion Federation and the Florida Abortion Council.

Dr. Jeronimo Dominguez of New York wrote that "on any Monday you can see about 30 garbage bags with fetal material in them along the sidewalks of several abortion clinics in New York."

In Odessa, Texas, city ordinance 69-91 forbids placing a dead animal in a dumpster. But that didn't stop one abortionist from depositing large brown plastic bags full of sock-like gauze bags into the city dumpster prior to closing every night.

A Baptist minister opened the bags and to his horror found little "perfectly formed hands and feet of a 13-week-old boy baby and the complete body, in pieces, of a 17-week-old baby. Everything

except one foot was there: The rib cage, sexual organs, head, finger nails and toe nails."

He nearly died of shock. I nearly did too, reading about it.

They Burn Babies, Don't They?

Babies used to be burned on the altar to Baal, now they're burned in furnaces at the sites of their deaths.

In Cincinnati, a prenatal killer allowed dense smoke to emanate from his chimney. When firemen were called they were told, "They're burning babies," as if that was routine.

One wonders how life-saving firemen could continue their dedication amid such a contradiction!

One pro-lifer overheard her children (ages 5 and 7) discussing the infamous picture of the babies in the trash can the first time they saw it.

"It's dolls. It has to be dolls," said the kindergartner.

"No," said his pre-school sister, "it's babies."

The older child couldn't believe it. "It has to be dolls," he insisted. "Why would anyone throw away babies?"

When their mother explained to them that it was babies, both children grew very quiet. Silently they studied the picture and then recalled the times they had gone on trips to the city dump with the family. "Will the rats eat the babies when they take them to the dump?" the boy asked.

Animals Fare Better

A wounded American eagle was found in Maryland recently and rushed to emergency treatment but it was too late. He died. A \$5,000 reward was offered for the arrest of its killer.

Similarly, the Izaak Walton League's ethics fund has spent nearly \$60,000 in the last 1½ years to enhance outdoor ethics.

It is illegal to ship pregnant lobsters (regardless of which trimester!) to market. There's a \$1,000 fine and a year's jail term as a penalty.

The Massachusetts Supreme Court has ruled that goldfish cannot be awarded as prizes in games of chance. This violates the state's anti-cruelty law to protect the "tendency to dull humanitarian feelings and corrupt the morals of those who observe them." This same court upheld mandatory state funding of abortions!

If the human fetus were an animal, its welfare might be entrusted to the Department of Agriculture or the Fish and Wildlife Service where it would be safer than at the mercy of the Health Department. The hackles of the SPCA would rise at the physical treatment that it received.

The New Laboratory Rat

Some researchers insist that the reason they must do research on human fetuses is because they are human, not animal.

In a it-shouldn't-happen-to-a-dog story, 47 senators voted in 1974 to protect dogs from experimentation with poisonous gas but then voted down Sen. Jesse Helm's amendment to prevent federal funds from being used for abortion. One liberal, pro-abortion senator gave an emotion laden speech to protect dogs. Man's best-friend came out better than man himself!

Who is pressing for the "right" to experiment? No one less than the National Institutes of Health. A stacked national commission gave them the "right" and this experimentation is funded by you, the tax-payer!

This is another sequel to the erosion of the value of human life. Abortion, fetal experimentation, infanticide and euthanasia are four walls of the same coffin.

Even Planned Parenthood's anti-life lawyer Harriet Pilpel was shocked. "What mother (sic) would consent to an experiment on her fetus?" she asked.

A Few Choice Examples

- The Young couple who wanted to conceive a child to be aborted so that the father-to-be could use the baby's kidneys for a transplant that he needed himself.

- In California babies aborted at six months were submerged in jars of liquid with high oxygen content to see if they could breathe through their skins. They couldn't.

- The hysterotomy-aborted fetus in the seventh, eighth and ninth months is removed intact (translation: The baby is alive). The trade in fetal tissue is about \$1 million annually. The high prices may encourage unnecessary abortions on welfare patients as the surest way of getting "salable tissue."

- Dr. Robert Schwartz, chief of pediatrics at the Cleveland Metropolitan Hospital, said that, "After a baby is delivered, while it is still linked to its mother by the umbilical cord, I take a blood sample, sever the cord and then as quickly as possible remove the organs and tissues."

- Magee Women's Hospital in Pittsburgh packed aborted babies in ice for shipment to experimental labs.

- Newsday* reported that an Ohio medical research company tested the brains and hearts of 100 fetuses as part of a \$300,000 pesticide contract.

Subscription Rates

Per Annum

U.K. - - - - -	£5.00
Overseas - - - - -	£6.00 Airmail
U.S. Dollar Rate -	\$10.00 "

FOREST HOUSE, LISS FOREST, HANTS GU33 7DD

The Modern Scalp Display?

• Human embryos and other organs have been encased in plastic and sold as paperweight novelty items.

• The Diabetes Treatment Project at UCLA depends for its existence on the availability of pancreases from late-term aborted fetuses.

• A rabies vaccine is produced from viruses grown in the lungs of aborted children, according to FDA. A polio vaccine was also grown with cells from aborted kids.

• Brain cells would be "harvested" from aborted babies for transplant.

• Tissue cultures are obtained by dropping still-living babies into meat grinders and homogenizing them, according to the prestigious *New England Journal of Medicine*.

• The *Village Voice* reported estimates seven years ago that 20,000 to 100,000 fetuses are sold to drug companies each year in the U.S.

• A \$600,000 grant from N.I.H. enabled one baby (among many others in the experiment done in Finland) to be sliced open without an anesthetic so that a liver could be obtained. The researcher in charge said that the baby was complete and "was even secreting urine." He disclaimed the need for anesthetic, saying an aborted baby is just garbage." Don't tell God!

• A study on the severed heads of 12 babies delivered by C-section who were kept alive for months.

• Even the baby's placenta is sold for 50 cents to drug companies. Ever heard of Placenta Plus shampoo?

And the atrocities go on. Will the unborn be regarded as handy little organ sources? Will our preborn brothers and sisters become a source of spare body parts?

Listen to the newscasters — they are already pleading nationwide for organs. It's enough to make you tear up your organ donor card! At least adults can consent to being inventorized like a body shop's spare parts department but Little Bugger cannot!

After reading that aborted babies' fat is being used to make soap in England and the fact that the former head of the federal Centres for Disease Control abortion surveillance branch proposed that abortions should be charged for by the length of the baby's foot, are we surprised that babies are treated this way in the Year of the Child or the Year of the Disabled?

After reading the above, if your heart is still beating, run, don't walk, to your nearest prayer closet and start praying!

Dr Olga Fairfax is Director of Methodists Unite for Life.

DARK MARKS FOR TEACHER

Race Officer Potts reporting to Education Committee. As instructed, kept Nelson Mandela (formerly Bog Lane) Comprehensive under surveillance for signs of racism. Found whole school rife with same.

Elitist teachers found to be using white chalk on chalkboard despite availability of green ditto. Pupils forced to write on white paper. Demeaning words beginning with Black (blacksmith, blackmail, blackball, etc.) not crossed out of dictionaries. Racist books such as *Black Beauty* still in school library. Common room pack of cards still contains ace of spades.

Headmaster made racist comment immediately upon my arrival, to wit, 'Oh God'. Pointed out that said remark was offensive to those who may worship different deity.

IN staff coffee break, heard dinner lady ask, 'Black or White?' several times. Instructed here to say 'Milk or plain?' in future. Dinner lady used offensive, sexist word.

Mr Dobbs, woodwork teacher, observed showing Form 4C how to make coffee tables without pointing out that much wood grows in

Africa and that coffee is produced by perspired Brazilian labour. Reminded Mr Dobbs that coffee tables are elitist and suggested he switch production to mug-of-tea and sausage-sarnie tables.

In sports period, observed racist race, in which ethnic pupil was put to humiliation of coming in second. Suggested that pupils should in future run round in circles to avoid risk of anyone losing.

In common room, Mr Simpkins, geography teacher, made racist observation as follows: 'Sod this for a game of candles, I'm bloody emigrating'. Under cross-examination, Mr Simpkins agreed that country to which he was contemplating emigrating was Australia, notwithstanding that as geography teacher he must be aware of said country's record on aborigines.

Cannot find reference to game of candles in school sports manual but suspect it is elitist.

Daily Mail, Thursday, October 23, 1986.

BROADSIDE WON'T AID RELIGIOUS TOLERANCE

from the **Borehamwood Post**, 11/9/86

PLEASE allow me some comments on Hanif Kureishi's angry broadside (*Visions*, 28.8.86) against the 94 per cent of Britons who make up the indigenous European majority in the United Kingdom.

By outspoken implication, he accuses the majority of us of racial prejudice, especially against the Pakistani Moslem community. He argues that immigrants are not hostile to British institutions, they want to go to the mosque (and also to the pub), to study the Koran and get their O-levels.

After all, people from Pakistan were "invited" to come to Bradford to work in the mills.

This sounds eminently reasonable. Unfortunately, it is too simplistic and does not take account of developments deriving from this "invitation" which Hanif Kureishi fails to list and which have produced a situation which is becoming increasingly complex and difficult to handle.

In December last year, in the wake of a row about a police authority's chairman urging immigrants "to do in Rome as the Romans do", the *Sunday Telegraph* leader said: "What the police committee chairman overlooks is the fact that in a number of areas doing what the Romans do now means conforming to Moslem customs".

Moslem immigrants when asked are certainly "not hostile to British institutions", but the fact now is that in those areas where they are present in the mass, this is how it seems to have worked out and, like it or not, this is how many indigenous European Britons now perceive the situation.

Just before Christmas last year it was reported Moslems will be called to prayer twice a day at their central mosque in Birmingham with a loudspeaker message at 126 decibels.

There is growing resentment at this, more so as it is well known that in Islamic lands Christian churches are not allowed to ring bells.

In spite of the fact that Moslems and other religious communities make up only 6 per cent of the total population, enormous pressure has been put on education authorities throughout the whole of Britain to demote Christianity from its position of primacy in the syllabus. One cannot imagine a Christian community in an overwhelmingly Islamic country being allowed to exert this type of pressure successfully.

Islamic pressure has ensured that in areas where a school has ten Moslem children halal meat must be provided and I have heard that in small schools where kitchen staff cannot cope with two kinds of meat (which according to Islamic law must be kept

separate), it often happens that halal meat is served to all children, Moslems as well as the non-Moslems majority.

In October 1982, and repeatedly since, organisations representing Britain's Moslems approached the government with a view to getting Islamic Family Law legalised in Britain.

"We would feel more at home here if it were made legal" runs the argument, no thought apparently being given to how we feel about this matter. Legalising Islamic Family Law would in fact mean legalising in our country Islamic divorce and polygamy.

The government has so far refused these requests.

But the matter did not stop there. Resident Moslems from Bangladesh recently took a request to be allowed to bring a second wife into this country to the House of Lords. Their request was disallowed.

However, at a conference of the British Society for Middle East Studies in Durham in the 70s, Moslem scholars confidently predicted that within 40 years Moslems would make up ten million of the UK population and requested that the British Government should begin planning to make adequate provision for proper Islamic education.

Ten million in 40 years may be an exaggerated claim but as the number of Moslems here is expected to increase one is justified in asking whether British Governments will in future be in a position to disallow Moslem requests, regardless of the wishes of the indigenous European British majority.

There is not doubt that Islamic pressure will be kept up and indeed the Saudi Arabian daily newspaper *Asharq al-Awsat* reported last year on the first International Conference of European Moslems in Seville that the agenda included plans to form an umbrella organisation of European Moslems that "would strive to ensure that Islamic law and Islamic culture bring their influence to bear on industrialised and technological societies."

The pressure of a growing Moslem population in Britain has a significance for us non-Moslem European Britons which goes far beyond their just wanting to "go to the mosque and to the pub, to study the Koran and get their O-levels" and the manner in which Hanif Kureishi blasts away onesidedly at the 94 per cent majority does not help good race relations in our country one bit —

Kenneth Roden.

THE REALITY OF SANCTIONS

by DON BELL

South Africa is back in the headlines, thanks to GM and IBM, the two multinational giants who sold out to South African domestic interests, making their sellouts appear to be in line with the sanctions imposed by the defunct 99th Congress. The truth of the matter is, General Motors was losing money in its South African operations and found it convenient to turn the business over to a local corporation that will probably make money out of the transaction. IBM also was losing money, turned its business over to its South African employees, but will continue to supply equipment, parts and supplies to the new company. The truth of the matter seems to be that the South Africans have become so embittered by US actions against their government that any US based multinational now is losing business and is anxious to sell out, even at bargain prices. The sanctions bill had little to do with it. Coca-Cola, Procter & Gamble, Marriot, at least 35 other American companies have sold out or are trying to sell out; not because of any sanctions, just because of bad business. And the multinationals can afford to bide their time, waiting for the expected collapse of the present South African government, and the takeover by "friendly socialist" dictators who will be anxious to make deals in order to survive in the manner they hope to become accustomed.

Actually, the withdrawal of US multinationals isn't hurting the South African government, is probably strengthening its economy. As *The New York Times* indicated in a special analysis by Alan Cowell, "the action of foreign corporations seems only to deepen the nation's chauvinism, prompting government leaders such as Foreign Minister Roelof Botha to *welcome the sale to South Africans of the subsidiaries of American companies*. One reason he can take such a stance is that there is not likely to be a negative economic effect since the businesses will continue." We were amused by Peter Jennings of ABC. In an attempt to show how sanctions were hurting South Africans, one South African was selected as an example. He sells flowers, one third of his crops is usually flown to the US. But direct flights between USA and RSA are now prohibited. So he may have to plow under that one third of his flower crop, commented the ABC reporter. He didn't mention that all such traffic will probably continue. Only inconvenience is that the shipment must go to some other country, and be transflown to the United States.

This, of course, will be the procedure for all future trade between the USA and the RSA, so long as the sanctions are in effect. There's already a precedent: Trade between the United States and

Cuba generally goes via Canada, which country has normal diplomatic relations with Castroland. Likewise, illegal shipments from the USA to the USSR first are shipped or flown to some "neutral" country such as Austria, then are sent from there to some Soviet Satellite or to the USSR. What we are implying is that, with the exception of some of the smaller businesses (which are slated for eventual takeover or liquidation by the Biggies seeking monopoly status), the sanctions will have little effect on important trade. And South Africa will probably profit because of the sanctions.

Rhodesian Case In Point

This isn't the intention of those who demanded the imposition of the sanctions, but they overlooked how this procedure worked in the case of Rhodesia. The record shows that during the first ten years of economic sanctions against Rhodesia, from 1965 to 1975, the country actually prospered. Employment figures rose, new industries were established, new crops were produced, and new mines were opened. The British government had to adopt an entirely different strategy in order to force Ian Smith's government to collaborate with the Marxist leaders that have taken it over. As for the importance of economic sanctions against South Africa, Allen Keyte in his *World Affairs Review* notes that South Africa also will prosper as a result of these sanctions. He says Africa produces its own high quality steel, has a prospering armament industry, a promising shipbuilding industry, is building and equipping its own submarines (nuclear powered and nuclear armed, we believed), and is producing fighter planes that are among the world's finest. Because of the threat of embargo, "South African manufacturers have also already started fabricating a great many parts and products formerly imported, and more developments in this field are underway . . . The list could be expanded to other fields too numerous to mention here.

In the issue containing an excellent outline of just what is contained in this sanctions bill passed by Congress and awaiting signature by the President, Don McAlvany addresses an Open Letter to P.W. Botha, President of the Republic of South Africa. The letter begins: "By now you must have concluded, as many of your conservative friends in America have been warning your government for years, that *the government of the United States (but not its people) is your deadly enemy*. The intent of the recently passed sanctions bill, and indeed the efforts of the US State Department, is to overthrow your government and replace it with a pro-Soviet/African National

Congress government. No amount of racial reform or movement toward a multi-racial government will satisfy your enemies in the US Congress, State Department, media, the academia, and America's liberal eastern establishment, any more than a black moderate government freely elected in Zimbabwe/Rhodesia in 1979 satisfied them." McAlvany goes on to put point out that "America has been trying to overthrow the white South African power structure and establish a Black Marxist government in Pretoria for over 10 years. The South African Minister of Police and Justice pointed out to this writer in 1977 that the US government was its most dangerous enemy, the British Labor government its second most dangerous enemy, and the Soviet government its third most dangerous enemy."

At the conclusion of World War II, the Power Elite began looking for new worlds to exploit. Generally undeveloped Black Africa was ideal for their expansionist purposes. The "Elitists" looked for resources to develop and cheap labor to exploit. Their allies, the Communist leaders, looked for new places to "liberate." Americans, being great humanitarians, wanted the people to have their own governments. And the UN was the instrument that could bring these three together. But there was one drawback: Most of Black Africa was under the control of the colonial powers; Belgium, Portugal, France and England. A newly emerging, supposedly independent government would be easier to exploit by the Elitists, to infiltrate and subvert by the Communists, and to raise the standards of living of the Blacks by the Americans. So, as Edward Griffin wrote in his *The Fearful Master*, "By mid-1960 the worldwide Communist drive of 'anti-colonialism' had reached an all-time high . . . All those who questioned the wisdom of this trend were branded 'imperialists' and their comments were buried in an avalanche of emotionalism. 'Exploitation, cruel and inhuman treatment of the natives, and humanitarian consideration were phrases shouted at everyone who doubted the wisdom of granting immediate independence to colonial areas.'"

The Belgium and Portuguese governments resisted the trend, as did the British and French people living in Africa. But finally, the Western governments were forced to give up. And immediate turmoil developed. Whites were murdered, tribes went to war against other tribes; Africa became a "Black Hell," though too little of this was ever told by the media. For lack of space, let's mention just one area: the Belgium Congo, which was granted independence on June 30, 1960. Out of the developing chaos came two leaders. There was Communist trained Patrice

Lumumba who became president of the new country, and there was anti-Communist, Christian Moise Tshombe, who became president of the state of Katanga. The UN supported Lumumba, and the US supported the UN; while the Belgium government supported Tshombe. Lumumba promised the uneducated Africans that they could take all the property of the white settlers, and could have all the European women they wanted when he was elected president. Tshombe promised his people a republican form of government patterned after that of the US. So there was war between the two. To support Lumumba, a UN army was formed, and Belgian troops were rushed to Katanga to help Tshombe. In what is known as the "Christmas Massacre," UN troops stormed into Katanga, being flown there in American planes. Hospitals, churches, embassies and hotels were bombed and destroyed, the Belgian and native defenders in Elisabethville were murdered by the UN troops, under Communist leadership and with American support. Similar incidents occurred all over Black Africa, except in Rhodesia and South Africa, which had declared themselves to be independent, white controlled countries. What finally happened to Rhodesia we all know. So, let's turn to South Africa.

Carnegie War Plan

On August 7, 1963, the UN Security Council voted nine to nothing in favor of a resolution against South Africa for its policy of racial segregation. An arms embargo and other sanctions were imposed because South Africa was "seriously disturbing international peace and security." Disregarding the wars and revolutions in other parts of Africa, the Soviet delegate described the situation in South Africa as "a reign of terror and violence." Following through, the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, in March, 1965, published its report, *Apartheid and the United Nations*, in which it was recommended that the UN should go to war against South Africa. "We shall analyze three general categories of action," said the report, "a show of force, a naval and air blockade and direct military intervention. We shall also look briefly at what might be involved for any military forces being utilized by the United Nations in a period of direct UN rule." The blockade, we learn, would require 50 to 60 warships including destroyers, submarines and three to five aircraft carriers (support vessels not included); and 300 aircraft of fighter and reconnaissance capability. In 1965 dollars this would cost approximately \$166 million for a six-month period. Direct military intervention and the conquest of South Africa would require another 200 frontline aircraft, 200 transport planes, 30,000

men for amphibious assault, 3,000 men for air assault, a reserve of 300 more aircraft, 60,000 more troops, and 117 additional warships and transport vessels. This would cost some \$94 million for each 30-day period. Casualties would be between 19,000 and 38,000 killed and wounded. This does not include the South Africans killed or wounded.

So that's how and when it all began, more than 20 years ago; and the UN, the Communists, and our State Department, have been pursuing this plan to destroy the Republic of South Africa ever since. Apartheid is the excuse, exploitation and socialization are the reasons.

Don Bell is editor of the weekly newsletter, Don Bell Reports. For a sample copy, send £1 to P.O. Box 2223, Palm Beach, Florida 33480, USA.

A SHE CHANGE

Women's Lib
And Adam's Lib
Revolts.
The bosom and the baby's bib,
Nappy, bottle, cradle, crib –
For dolts!

'Miss' and 'Mrs'
Mean just stress
Trousers to usurp the dress
And neutering into a 'Ms';
But for 'Self',
Alas,
Loveless.

B. E. BIGGS

SATANIC SYMBOLISM

We remember well the appearance on Canadian dollar bills of a delineation of the Queen in whose hair was shown, beyond all possibility of mistake, the traditional face of the Devil. As a result of a campaign of protest started by **Candour's** founder, A. K. Chesterton, the Canadian Government was obliged to call in all issues of the offending bill and replace them with one of a modified design from which the Devil's face was deleted. This attempt to tarnish the Queen's portrait was certainly not accidental, as **Candour** argued at the time in our issue of February 3rd., 1956.

Students of the secret side of politics are aware that those who live in this element, although thriving in darkness, have a compulsive urge to sign their own handiwork in symbolic writing. The murderers of the Russian Royal Family made a cabbalistic sign on the wall of the room where the Czar was done to death. The star painted on all vehicles and equipment used for the invasion of Europe in 1944 undoubtedly had symbolic significance. So had the depiction of Masonic implements on the Victory stamps.

The Illuminati, progenitors of the great modern conspiracies, were worshippers of Satan. Their evil cult persists. Bearing that fact in mind, the reader is asked to examine the illustration here reproduced. It is a free-hand drawing of the Queen's head as engraved on Canadian dollar and other banknotes. The face of Satan lurks in the hair. It cannot be mistaken. As the engraver is unknown to me, so necessarily are his intentions. I am prepared to believe that the Devil's likeness was fortuitous. What cannot be regarded as fortuitous, however, will be the continued circulation of these notes once the attention of the Canadian Government has been directed to this doubtlessly unconscious adventure in diabolism. We have previously remarked on the creeping republicanism observable in certain Governmental circles in Canada. We now point out that followers of the cult of Illuminism are notoriously opposed

to the institution of Monarchy. If the Canadian authorities allow these damnable banknotes to continue in circulation our worst suspicions will be confirmed.



READ THE PATRIOT

The Defiant Voice of the Restorationist Right. The 1980s voice of Nationalism in support of the British National Party. Send for further details to S. T. Millson, The Patriot, PO Box 446, LONDON SE22 2LS.

BROTHERHOOD — OR THE BROTHER HOODS?

by JOHN COTTER

The French Revolution (1789) was ushered in with cries of "Liberté, Egalité, Fraternité" which translates as "Liberty, Equality, Fraternity". As "fraternity" can also be translated as "brotherhood", let's see what sort of "brotherhood" the French Revolution really meant. Did "brotherhood" mean a sort of friendly feeling among the people of all classes, high and low, in an abstract noun sense? Or should we read it in a collective noun sense as in the BROTHERHOOD OF THE ILLUMINATI? The BROTHERHOOD OF THE ILLUMINATI was formed by Adam Weishaupt in 1776 and supposedly dissolved in 1785. But just as the Comintern (Communist International), founded in Moscow in 1919 which was supposedly dissolved in 1943, is most certainly very much in existence to-day, so we feel the BROTHERHOOD OF THE ILLUMINATI is still very, very much alive. In fact it may well be the hidden hand behind the TRILATERAL COMMISSION, the COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS, the CLUB OF ROME (which has many members from the Iron Curtain countries including from Soviet Russia), the ASPEN INSTITUTE the BROOKINGS INSTITUTION, the PUGWASH SYMPOSIUM, the DITCHLEY PARK Foundation, etc.

Remember LORD ACTON in his ESSAYS ON THE FRENCH REVOLUTION wrote:

The appalling thing in the French Revolution is not the tumult but the design. Through all the fire and smoke we perceive the evidence of calculating organization. The managers remain studiously concealed and masked; but there is no doubt about their pressure from the first.

With this in mind, we may translate the slogans of the bloody French Revolution, fore-runner of Atheistic International Communism, as LIBERTY, EQUALITY: THE BROTHERHOOD.

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT

Next we turn to President Roosevelt's proclamation of Brotherhood Week in February, 1943, issued under the slogan "Victory for Brotherhood". Roosevelt said that the "Spirit of Brotherhood" was "Our war aim" and that "It is our promise to extend such brotherhood earthwide which gives hope to all the world". Before we de-code this, using the term "brotherhood" in a collective noun sense (as in the Brotherhood of the Illuminati), we have to say that, whatever we think of Hitler, whatever mistakes he may have made, *he did reverse the tide of the world revolution*. Had it not been for the USA and the British Empire, Hitler would have smashed the

world revolution for all time. Soviet Russia could not have resisted on its own. As Sir Winston CHURCHILL himself put it at an American Independence Day dinner in London in July 1950: "Had it not been for Britain and America, Hitler would have destroyed communism, or, at least driven it back to the Ural Mountains".

Thus in 1943 the power of the Brotherhood was not *earthwide*. So what exactly did Roosevelt mean? Did he not mean that "the spirit of *the* Brotherhood" was his "war aim", and that the USA under his leadership was fighting for "the victory of *the* Brotherhood" and that he promised to "extend the power of *the* Brotherhood earthwide"?

ADLAI STEVENSON

Now let us turn to Adlai Stevenson in 1957. When the National Conference of Christians and Jews was presenting its first WORLD BROTHERHOOD awards at the Waldorf-Astoria on November 11, 1957, Adlai Stevenson, then Democratic Presidential candidate, was guest of honour. Adlai said:

"The Soviet earth satellites are not a portent of disaster nor a cause for despair but are a warning that mankind faces a choice between extinction and human brotherhood".

The Soviet earth satellites of which Mr. Stevenson spoke meant that the USSR had caught up to the USA in its space programme. Not a cause for despair of course but certainly a cause for concern. Not, however for Adlai Stevenson. We can see why if his message is de-coded like this. "The Soviet earth satellites are . . . a warning that mankind faces a choice between extinction and *the* Brotherhood". And who would be in a position to threaten us (Adlai used the word 'warning') with "extinction"? Why, the Brotherhood of the ILLUMINATI of course! Note the threat very much implicit in Adlai's speech, a threat which will operate at least on our subconscious mind. Very similar to other left-wing threats such as

CO-EXISTENCE OR NO EXISTENCE
BROTHERHOOD OR BUST
ONE WORLD OR NO WORLD
A WORLD AT PEACE OR A WORLD
IN PIECES.

It seems a certain group of people (the BROTHERHOOD OF THE ILLUMINATI?) have the power to destroy the world unless we accept their blue-print for the New World Order.

SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL

Interpreting the word "brotherhood" in a collective noun sense is neither new nor original with the writer. In his essay on LEON TROTSKY in his book *GREAT CONTEMPORARIES*, Sir Winston Churchill wrote on pages 168-169.

"The citadel will be stormed under the banners of Liberty and Democracy: and once the apparatus of power is in the hands of the Brotherhood, all opposition, all contrary opinions, must be used and afterwards broken; Liberty but a sentimental folly unworthy of the logician. The absolute rule of a self chosen priesthood according to the dogmas it has learned by rote is to be imposed upon mankind without mitigation progressively forever. All this set out in prosy textbooks, written also in blood in the history of several powerful nations, is the Communists' faith and purpose."

GEORGE ORWELL

George Orwell, a King's scholar at Eton College, was an important Socialist who turned strongly against Communism after serving for a year with the Communist forces in the Spanish Civil War. He then wrote two widely translated, best-selling anti-Communist books, *ANIMAL FARM* and *1984*. An acute observer of the international scene, his brilliant mind finely honed at one the world's leading educational establishments, and with inside knowledge of the Communist and Socialist conspiracy, Orwell would be the kind of person to stumble on the trail of "the Brotherhood." It is highly significant that in his book *1984*, Orwell puts the following message into the mouth of O'Brien — "a man of mysteriously high rank in the Inner Party," who says:

"I can tell you that the Brotherhood exists, but I cannot tell you whether it numbers a hundred members, or ten million. From your personal knowledge you will never be able to say that it numbers even as many as a dozen . . . You will have heard rumours of the existence of the Brotherhood . . . The Brotherhood cannot be wiped out because it is not an organization in the ordinary sense."

HER MAJESTY QUEEN ELIZABETH

Next, let us turn to the Queen's annual Christmas broadcast to her peoples in 1955. Although as official head of Anglican Christianity through the British Empire and Commonwealth Her Majesty had a duty to make an at least nominally Christian broadcast to her subjects on an official Christian Feast day, not once in her broadcast were the words "God," "Christ," "Jesus," "Jesus Christ," or "Christmas" mentioned. Her entire broadcast was 100 per cent devoid of any Christian content whatsoever. Incredible!

Before de-coding part of Her Majesty's

broadcast, we must briefly touch on the incident of the Canadian banknotes issued just before.

"THE DEVIL HAS THE QUEEN'S EAR."

In 1954, some new banknotes were issued in Canada — all denominations (\$1, \$2, \$5, \$10, \$20, \$50 and \$100) with the face of the Devil clearly etched over the Queen's ear. In the uproar that followed, the Government said that the whole thing was an unfortunate coincidence, and that the curls of the Queen's "perm" hanging over her ear just happened to resemble the face of the Devil. A backbench MP didn't buy this explanation. He said there was a message in the banknotes — that "the Devil has the Queen's ear." The Canadian Government then hastily withdrew the banknotes. But the backbencher was right as will be seen by the even stranger episode of the Queen's Christmas broadcast of 1955.

In that broadcast (1955) the Queen spoke of "the chain reaction of the powers of light, to illuminate the new age ahead of us." The "powers of light" are interpreted by initiates of the occult as the *powers of Lucifer or Satan* (i.e. the former angel of light. They interpret the "new age ahead of us" as meaning the *post-Christian era*.

Note: *ILLUMINATI* means (in Latin) "the enlightened ones." And to whom would they be going for "illumination" and "enlightenment"? Why, the former angel of light, of course!

But let's get back to the Brotherhood. The Queen in an enthusiastic reference to her Commonwealth spoke about "How different nations from north and south, from east and west, can live together in a friendly brotherhood." The writer, holder of the King's Commission in the Navy in World War II, would respectfully say to Her Majesty that neither he nor his friends have found "the Brotherhood" as "friendly" as apparently has Her Majesty!

CONCLUSION: THE BROTHERHOOD, UNIVERSAL?

Today we hear much about "THE BROTHERHOOD OF MAN", WORLD BROTHERHOOD and UNIVERSAL BROTHERHOOD. These are especially noticeable in the annual Brotherhood weeks held every February by the Councils of Christians and Jews.

By now, we should all know who "The Brotherhood of Man" are, although we can only guess at some of the names.

Does not the term WORLD BROTHERHOOD mean simply that "the Brotherhood" has world power? Now we know the code, let us all have fun next February in BROTHERHOOD WEEK decoding their hidden messages. That is unless by then we are all living in a world-wide "gulag" when THE POWER OF "THE BROTHERHOOD" is "UNIVERSAL."

RIGHTS ENJOYED IN SOUTH AFRICA BY BLACKS AND THE EQUIVALENT POSITION OF CITIZENS IN THE USSR

1. Blacks of 18 years with South African citizenship vote both in municipal elections and in those of the national states. All elections are contested by more than one party.
1. *Only members of the CPSU vote and then only for the candidate of the CPSU.*
2. Courts are open to all and, in serious cases, defence counsel is provided by the State if the defendant cannot afford a lawyer. All lawyers are in private practice except State prosecutors and counsel.
2. *Lawyers are civil servants.*
3. Embassies and Consulates of foreign countries are accessible to all in South Africa.
3. *Strict guard is maintained over contact by Soviet citizens with representatives of foreign governments.*
4. Embassy personnel can go where they please in South Africa and happily attend all opposition events and demonstrations.
4. *Embassy personnel are confined to a 40 km radius from Moscow and can only leave it with permission. They live in ghettos specially set aside.*
5. Anyone may register and own a press or publish a newspaper or circular. Black newspapers abound.
5. *All the press belongs to the State. Samizdat's are repressed.*
6. No radio programmes are subject to interference and TV stations beam into South Africa from surrounding black countries.
6. *The Soviet Union interferes with all foreign radio and TV emissions.*
7. Foreign newspapers and periodicals are freely available, although East Bloc items are blocked by various means.
7. *Only a few permitted journals are available and not to the general public.*
8. There are 172 foreign correspondents in South Africa and they have access to any black they wish, and vice versa. They choose their domicile.
8. *Bittman reckons there are 80 to 100 foreign correspondents in the Soviet Union. Their access is extremely limited. They live where the State permits them to. They drive cars with yellow licences that identify them.*
9. Any citizen can leave the country either with a passport or an exit permit.
9. *Leaving the Soviet Union is regarded as an offence and passports/exit permits are dished out parsimoniously.*
10. Any black has access to foreign exchange through the banks up to the usual limit permitted for all citizens.
10. *Foreign exchange may only be obtained by those on the State's business. Dealing in foreign exchange is "economic sabotage".*
11. Any South African citizen has the right to return to South Africa and maintain his citizenship. (He only loses it when he expressly renounces it but is generally considered to maintain it.) He enjoys the protection of his Embassies and Consulates abroad.
11. *Anybody leaving the Soviet Union permanently is regarded as a traitor and enjoys no privileges/rights of a Soviet citizen when abroad. He will not be able to maintain a Soviet passport and will have extreme difficulty returning to the USSR.*

THE GLOBAL MANIPULATORS

by ROBERT ERINGER

Another new title available from:
Steven Books
P. O. Box 112
London N22 6AW

TRADITIONALISTS' ANTHOLOGY

Elizabeth Freeman's 'commonplace book' that puts the materialism of the age in its true perspective, analyses the plan for world revolution and warns of the perils ahead.

BE SURE OF YOUR COPY NOW
£10 UK \$15 USA £12.50 ELSEWHERE
FROM
CANDOUR PUBLISHING COMPANY

SOME THOUGHTS ON THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

A Report from Washington, DC by Robert Bergin

The first war in history, that between St. Michael and his angels and Satan, was over very quickly. It was an internal affair, a civil war, as we would say today. Satan was hurled like a thunderbolt to hell. It was the first arrest and imprisonment of a criminal in history, and by St. Michael, the Patron of Police Officers' Associations in some countries.

If this took place in America today there would be an outcry by certain lawyers, notably from the American Council for Civil Liberties, charging that Satan had been denied due process, that the problem could have been settled by negotiation, that Satan's civil rights had been violated.

There is a passionate struggle for the soul of America going on today, fought out in Congress, in the courts, in the Administration, above all in the media, and in countless groups and associations scattered throughout the country.

Watching the recent debate — shown on television — on the nomination of William Rehnquist to be Chief Justice of the U.S.A., one became conscious that the struggle between the 'secular humanists' and the 'religious conservatives' has intensified this year. The struggle is not merely an American phenomenon. It is worldwide, the clash of the two most powerful of all ideologies, the Spirit and the anti-Spirit, the two cities of St. Augustine, the unmeasured violence of a war to the death between good and evil in all the depths of its power and vehemence.

There is no drama on earth equal to this.

The U.S. Constitution

The Bi-centennial of the U.S. Constitution is being celebrated next year, and there is already some attention being given to this in the media. There may be something of an 'agonising reappraisal' of the effects of the Constitution in view of the mess that American society is in today.

There is a drug crisis, a venereal disease crisis, an education crisis, a crime rate which is probably the highest in the Western world, a break-down in the criminal justice system, and an incidence of psychiatric disorders unprecedented in American history. This has led to an epidemic of suicides amongst America's best and brightest youth.

Can any of this be traced to a flaw in the Constitution?

Traditionally, there has been no problem which the energetic, enterprising, imaginative Americans could not solve. They liked to call themselves "God's own people" the greatest race on earth. You don't hear that now, but I believe there is still a strong

undercurrent of that exuberant self-confidence. They had something to show for those claims forty years ago. But where is America heading today?

St. Thomas Aquinas could have told those framers of the U.S. Constitution two hundred years ago that there was indeed a fatal flaw in that document which would inevitably produce the chaos of today. Plato and Aristotle could have told them this also. It has been known for thousands of years to philosophers and sages that the development of virtue was the only hope of prosperity and happiness for the nation.

At least as it has been interpreted, the U.S. Constitution give equal status to truth and error, to virtue and to moral corruption, to God and to the devil. The state gives the same tax breaks to the Satanist 'church' on the West coast as it does to Mother Teresa's institutions. All the corrupt forces which are devastating America's youth today, do it under the protection of the Constitution. The institutes of higher learning are producing a generation of hedonists, sybarites, addicts, an intellectual flotsam and jetsam drifting aimlessly on the tides of time towards the cataracts of eternity. Drafted as a document for free men, the Constitution is producing a nation of slaves. *For the slavery of the passions is the greatest slavery of all.*

Was there any wise man in history who did not warn that order and good government depended on the virtue of the people? Many of the historians, e.g. Toynbee, Unwin, and of course the Bible itself tell us that, where there is a major moral collapse amongst the people, the nation itself soon collapses.

Carl Jung, the noted Swiss psycho-analyst, warned us some years ago that, before we jettison the Cross as the emblem and the ethos of our civilisation we had better examine why the barbaric tribes of Europe accepted it in the first place. They accepted it, Jung tells us, "as a welcome relief from the brutality and tyranny of the unchained libido."

Caesar Augustus banished the poet Ovid from Rome because he wrote a pornographic poem. Shakespeare noted the harm done to youth by pornography in his Richard II: "Lascivious metres to whose venom sound, the open ear of youth doth always listen . . ."

Can the wicked and the good subsist together in harmony? Does it matter if our schools produce a preponderance of moral degenerates, thieves, murderers, liars, fornicators, drug addicts?

If the Constitution permits or facilitates this, then let us hope that the American people will take a long hard look at it in the months to come.

PROPHECY OF THE CRISIS OF FAITH?

Not many Catholics are aware that, the Third Secret of Fatima was to be revealed to the world no later than 1960. Why, therefore, the mystery and silence surrounding it from that date onwards? Is it because the Church is now living through the very events which it announces?

The existence of the Third Secret of Fatima was first disclosed by Sister Lucia in 1941. The content of the first two parts were published in 1942, with the approval of Pope Pius XII, but the Third Secret has yet to be made public. The object of this new study* is to penetrate to the heart of this mysterious silence surrounding the Third Secret from 1941 to the present day, and reveal the intense and steadily increasing drama of Fatima, of which it is the focal point.

It is the work of a French religious, who has recently published a meticulously detailed and objective 3-volume study on Fatima. The text is a summary of his 600-page third volume in this series, and forms the address he gave to an international Fatima Symposium at Rome in November 1985. The theme is developed upon a deeply researched factual base, and is a consistent and logical extension to all that is already known about Fatima. As with the previously disclosed parts of the Secret, what emerges is grave to the point of tragedy.

AN UNDYING DEAL

Corneliu Codreanu's **Nest Leader's Manual** was the handbook for building his Iron Guard in pre-war Roumania. It educated Legionaries to be new men, regenerated and permeated by Christian virtues. Codreanu's spiritual revolution represented a great and intensive revival among young Roumanians, making the Legion unique among the nationalist movements of our age. And nothing has changed to diminish the validity of its concepts, for the spiritual crisis of today's society is even more critical than formerly. The essence of the Legion is just as valid half a century on and kindred movements would do well to look at it with more than a merely curious eye. A new English translation of Codreanu's handbook, with an introduction by C. Papanance, a former Legionary leader, is now available from Burning Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CR0 2QF, price £2.75. Orders should be sent by Recorded Delivery.

Firstly, the author clearly establishes what it cannot be about: neither prayer and penance, nor prophecies of happiness, nor the end of the world, nor a Third World War, nor a nuclear war.

Can we then know what the Third Secret really does tell us? In August and September 1984, the author reveals, Cardinal Ratzinger and the present Bishop of Leiria-Fatima made a public statement in which they both affirmed that the Third Secret specifies the crisis of faith, which the Church is now undergoing.

Hence the author concludes that: "nothing is so important, so necessary and so urgent as to make known without delay to all the faithful of the Church, the complete and integral text of Mary's Secret, in its limpid truthfulness, its prophetic richness and its divine transcendence . . ."

Pope Paul VI spoke of the "autodemolition of the Church" and the "smoke of Satan entering the Church". In 1982 the present Holy Father pronounced the Message of Fatima "still more relevant and urgent" than ever. And now we know, from Cardinal Ratzinger and the Bishop of Leiria-Fatima, that the Third Secret, which Our Lady wanted published by no later than 1960, concerns precisely the current crisis of faith, which has wrought such havoc and caused such untold suffering to countless souls throughout the whole Church. Are we not entitled to ask, in the light of these facts, why that divine Message, has still not been disclosed by the Church itself, whom God desires to be the foremost beneficiary of it?

***The Third Secret of Fatima** by Br. Michel de la Sainte Trinite. Augustine Publishing Co., Chulmleigh, Devon EX18 7HL, price £1.85.

WAKE UP JOHN BULL

I AM elderly and hard of hearing so I enquired if I could have a louder signal on my phone. Yes, I could and the cost would be £25.90 each quarter for the rental. Any concessions for pensioners over 80 I asked? No there was not, but try Social Security, which I did. No, there is not, was the answer there. There is no money for such items.

The same day I read that a man who has never worked in his life was receiving £2,000 a week for his family, plus the dole and family allowances for 11 children. Now he has been given a council house.

Cases like these make any hard working English person very bitter and reminds me of a very old song I heard 80 years ago, and it went like this.

Wake up John Bull; What is the matter with you? Why don't you close your open door? The same as they do on a foreign shore; Don't leave it too late John; Or you'll find out their worth; Some day you'll find yourself pushed right off the earth. — **Mrs V. Wheeler.**

LIGHT AND BITTER

by JANUS

It was on the early morning news that I heard 43 *back-bench* Conservative MPs, plus two Liberals and Enoch Powell, and *no-one else*, had voted for an amendment to the Education (Sex) Bill designed to restore to parents some control over what their children are taught. The 46 of them were "heavily defeated" by some figure over 300, which meant total victory for Thatcher and Labour. But why am I bothering to mention the Westminster pantomime, anyway? Well, on a recent visit to Goldsmith's College I picked up a Revolutionary Communist Party leaflet (there is an active branch there among the *trainee teachers*). The leaflet exhorted: Defend Lesbian Rights! Defend Gay Rights! (Ladies first, I note. That's what I like to see — olde worlde chivalry!) On the back of it *these* people were honest enough openly to declare their enmity to the family and family values. They referred, rightly, to our society as "a decaying society". Their mistake (if they really believe their own propaganda thereon) is to target the Tories as champions of the family and the enforcement of family values. So there, for me, was the tie-up with the Sex Education Bill: the Government itself appears as a giant safety valve in the ever-more-Leftward drift. "Thatcher, the Horns-and-a-Tail Tory-Fascist," scream the Left. Meanwhile, Government legislation prevents parents from protecting the impressionable young minds of their children from sick propaganda about homos, the pill, abortion and anything-goes-so-long-as-it's-your-choice.

* * * * *

Just two cheers for the couple of Tory MPs who were successful in the libel action they brought against the so-called 'British' Broadcasting Corporation. The **Panorama** programme in question (for which a researcher was the **Searchlight** hack Gerry Gable) had falsely accused them of being extreme Right-wing. They triumphantly showed that, of course, they weren't anything of the — ugh! — sort. The comic part is that the group they had been alleged to have been members of, so far from being extreme Right-wing, holds views on immigration, defence, law and order, etc., that are the views of commonplace Conservative and even Labour voters. Tory Action, as it's called, simply voices a position that is Right as opposed to Wrong, and that's the only Right which counts, as far as I'm concerned.

It seems to have been accepted by all the media that "slitty eyes" are a Bad Thing, so that to say that someone who has slitty eyes "has slitty eyes", as did Prince Philip in China, is an awful gaffe, not to say a studied insult. The incident reinforces what I've long said about the hypocrisy of the anti-racists, whose self-consciously virtuous tones when condemning racism are exactly those of someone disapproving of jeering at a cripple. They speak as though nobly defending Lesser Breeds, not merely giving their due to (alleged) Equals. I suppose it is beyond them to conceive that Chinese perhaps *like* having slitty eyes. On the same count, West Bromwich's community relations officer, Gurshan Sidhu, has caused to be banned from schools a series of children's reading books about two chimps called Bangers and Mash because "they might upset West Indian children". *No West Indians were reported as having complained*. The series illustrator, cartoonist Edward McLachlan, told the **Sunday Express**: "It's an incredible insult to black people to suggest that they look like the chimps I have drawn in the books. These community relations people have nothing else to do. They stir up things to cause pure mischief."

* * * * *

I suppose "it is not beyond all conjecture", though puzzling to most, that shortly after the politicians return from their vacations, BBC Radio Three in particular gets back to its Monday lunch-time concerts from the Houses of Parliament area with a full flush of Russian and Polish programmes, featuring Stravinsky, Prokofiev, Rachmaninov, Glazunov, Tchaikovsky, Rimskykorsakov, Rostropvich, etc.

* * * * *

I have this suggested comment for the Scottish **Daily Yell** following the two prison sieges: "When are our fascist authorities going to alleviate the grievances and meet the demands of the seven convicted murderers at Peterhead? What more can these tortured men do to gain their human rights beyond abduction, rebellion and derision? If we allow such an outrage to continue, we can no longer call ours a civilised country."